

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	i	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,692		11/25/2003	Douglas A. Melton	HUIP-P04-009	6501	
28120	7590	01/13/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & N			ALLEN, MARIANNE P			
ROPES & ONE INTE		.P NAL PLACE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON,	MA 021	10-2624	1647			

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/721,69	92	MELTON ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Marianne	P. Allen	1647					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evoluted in the control of	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir III expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .							
·	• •)⊠ This action is n	on-final.						
3)□									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
· <u> </u>									
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election red	quirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
^ ``	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cert	fied copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		- ·- - ,					

Application/Control Number: 10/721,692

Art Unit: 1647

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37

CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See

MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Applicant has submitted a copy of the signed oath from the parent application. However,

the specification submitted was not a copy of that of the originally filed parent application.

Applicant submitted a substitute specification with changes to the specification and claims. As

such, this oath is improper.

Specification

The substitute specification filed 11/25/03 has not been entered because it does not

conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) because:

The statement as to a lack of new matter under 37 CFR 1.125(b) is missing.

It is further noted that the substitute specification submitted strikes through claims and

renumbers claims. This is in contradiction to 37 CFR 1.126 which states that the original

numbering of the claims must be preserved throughout prosecution.

See also MPEP 608.01(q).

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

Application/Control Number: 10/721,692 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

I. Claims 1-26, drawn to a method of inducing cell differentiation or preventing neuronal cell death, classified in at least Class 514, subclass 12, for example.

II. Claims 27-30, drawn to a method for identifying neutralizing activity, classified in at least Class 514, subclass 12, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although classified in the same class and subclass, the methods of the two groups can be shown to be distinct because the methods have different goals, method steps, and starting materials and thus require non-coextensive non-patent literature searches. Furthermore, group I includes both <u>in vitro</u> and <u>in vivo</u> applications and group II is directed to <u>in vitro</u> assaying. Thus, searching and examination of these groups together would pose an undue burden.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their recognized divergent subject matter and the necessity for non-coextensive literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne P. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-0712. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30 am - 1:30 pm.

Art Unit: 1647

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marianne P. Allen
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1647

mpa